## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA Richmond Division

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	)	
v.	)	Criminal No. 3:07CR367–HEH
MARC A. BLIZZARD,	)	
Petitioner.	)	

## MEMORANDUM OPINION (Denying 28 U.S.C. § 2255 Motion)

Petitioner, a federal inmate proceeding with counsel, submitted this motion under 28 U.S.C. § 2255 to vacate, set aside, or correct his sentence. Petitioner asserted that, in light of the Supreme Court's recent decision in *Johnson v. United States*, 135 S. Ct. 2551 (2015), his enhanced sentence under the United States Sentencing Guidelines ("USSG") as a career offender is unconstitutional.<sup>1</sup> "Recently, the Supreme Court concluded that the Guidelines are not subject to a vagueness challenge under the Due Process Clause. . . . *Johnson*'s vagueness holding does not apply to the residual clause in [USSG] § 4B1.2(a)(2)." *United States v. Lee*, No. 15–6099, --- F.3d ----, 2017 WL 1476145,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> As the Supreme Court has noted,

<sup>[</sup>u]nder the Armed Career Criminal Act ["ACCA"] of 1984, a defendant convicted of being a felon in possession of a firearm faces more severe punishment if he has three or more previous convictions for a "violent felony," a term defined to include any felony that "involves conduct that presents a serious potential risk of physical injury to another."

Johnson, 135 S. Ct. at 2555 (emphasis added) (quoting 18 U.S.C. § 924(e)(2)(B)). This part of the definition of violent felony "ha[s] come to be known as the Act's residual clause." *Id.* The Johnson Court held "that imposing an increased sentence under the residual clause of the [ACCA] violates the Constitution's guarantee of due process." *Id.* at 2563.

at \*2 (4th Cir. Apr. 25, 2017) (citation omitted). Thus, Petitioner's claim lacks merit.

Accordingly, the Government's Motion to Dismiss (ECF No. 80) will be granted. The § 2255 Motion (ECF No. 75) will be denied. The action will be dismissed, and the Court will deny a certificate of appealability.

An appropriate Order shall issue.

/s

HENRY E. HUDSON UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Date: **May 9, 2017** Richmond, Virginia